



To: 'Kim Lapakko' < kim.lapakko@dnr.state.mn.us>,
CBUC4430@corp.newmont.com, Carol
Russell/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, ginger@gis.nmt.edu,
jimg@kpco.com, edward.trujillo@m.cc.utah.edu,
terrence.chatwin@m.cc.utah.edu, dvanzyl@mines.unr.edu,
nrieger@sc.blm.gov, harry.posey@state.co.us,
michael.klosterman@usace.army.mil, bgcslc2@worldnet.att.net

cc:

Subject: RE: Potential ADTI-MMS involvement, URGENT

Kim,

What is this property? Where is it? What is it? Who at EPA recommended this to ADTI?

I'd prefer not to weigh in on your question "Is this an appropriate activity for ADTI-MMS?" before hearing the debate. Initially, however, I think the question needs to be addressed under our current discussion about whether to incorporate and, if so, under what form.

For myself (and, I suspect, for others as well) both citizens' and professional affiliations have to be considered. For myself, I could provide factual information on mine operations that are to take place in this state, but could not lend advice. Also, involvement with mining companies who have other operations in this state is limited.

----Original Message----

From: Kim Lapakko [mailto:kim.lapakko@dnr.state.mn.us]

Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2001 8:44 AM

To: CBUC4430@corp.newmont.com; russell.carol@epa.gov;

ginger@gis.nmt.edu; jimg@kpco.com; edward.trujillo@m.cc.utah.edu;

terrence.chatwin@m.cc.utah.edu; dvanzyl@mines.unr.edu;

nrieger@sc.blm.gov; harry.posey@state.co.us;

michael.klosterman@usace.army.mil; bqcslc2@worldnet.att.net

Subject: Potential ADTI-MMS involvement, URGENT

The attachment outlines a potential ADTI-MMS involvement as a technical resource for permit development. I have made suggestions to edit the original draft, with suggested deletions of original wording struck out and suggested additions in italics and bold.

Do you think this is an appropriate activity for the ADTI-MMS? If so, please review and comment on the wording ASAP.

Thanks.